

Original article



Environmental Stresses In Crop Sciences Env. Stresses Crop Sci. Vol. 15, No. 1, pp. 259-273 (Spring 2022)

http://dx.doi.org/10.22077/escs.2021.3350.1850

Effect of sowing depth on qualitative characteristics tuber yield and water use efficiency of potato cultivars in autumn and spring cultivations of moderate and cold regions

H. Esfaram Meshgin Shahr¹, B. Mir Shekari^{2*}, D.Hassanpanah³, F. Farahvash², M. Yarnia²

1. Ph.D. Student, Agriculture group, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran

2. Faculty member, Agriculture group, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran

3. Faculty Member of the Department of Agronomy and Gardening, Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center of Ardabil Province (Moghan), Research Organization, Education and Promotion Organization, Ardebil, Iran

Received 7 May 2020; Accepted 24 February 2021

Extended abstract

Introduction

The scientifically named potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is one of the most important agricultural products in the world that belongs to the Solanaceae family, an autotetraploid plant (2n=4x=48), with complex inheritance patterns and in terms of nutritional importance, it ranks fourth after wheat, rice and maize and plays an important role in the nutrition and food basket of the global population. This research aims of this study was to investigate the effect of planting date and planting depth on some quality traits, glandular function and water use efficiency of potato cultivars in autumn and spring cultivation of temperate cold region in Araloo Agricultural Research and Natural Resources Station farm in Ardabil region for 2 years (2017-2018).

Materials and methods

Split-factorial experiment based on complete randomized block design in three replications of planting date at three levels (10 Novomber, 10 December and 10 May) as the main factor and factor combination of four potato cultivars (Sprite, Marfona, Savalan and Agria) And four planting planting depths (10, 15, 20 and 25 cm) were considered as a secondary factor. After performing the normality of the data and the experimental error uniformity of the compound analysis based on the statistical factor design and comparing the mean based on the LSD test at 5% probability level by SAS9.1 software and correlation between traits using Minitab.16 software. And the graphs were drawn with Excel software.

Results

The results of analysis of variance of the evaluated traits showed that the simple effect of planting date (gland function and water use efficiency) and simple effect of planting depth (nitrogen content, fiber percentage and soluble sugars) had a statistically significant difference between 5 and 1% probability. In terms of the quadrilateral effect $A \times B \times C \times D$, the traits (percentage of dry matter and soluble sugars) had a significant difference. Water Use efficiency was higher in November and December planting at depths of 10, 15 and 25 with the number of sprays with water efficiency of 6 (kg/m³). The average yield of the tuber in Marfona cultivar was 30 tons per hectare in May and 24 tons per hectare in November

cultivation and 20 tons per hectare in December cultivation. The difference in glandular function between spring and autumn planting was on average 8 tons per hectare. Meanwhile, in the autumn cultivation, about 4551 cubic meters per hectare (4 irrigations) and in the spring, about 14214 cubic meters per hectare (12 irrigations) were done. In this study, the number of irrigation times in autumn and spring planting was reduced from 12 irrigations to 4 irrigations and the difference in water consumption was 9663 cubic meters per hectare. The gland with the traits of the percentage of glandular starch and the percentage of fiber of the gland was negative and significant and with the percentage of dry matter of the gland was positive and significant .

Conclusions

Finally, it can be concluded that autumn cultivation of potato is suitable, especially in areas with water shortages. The highest water use efficiency in autumn cultivation at depths of 10, 15 and 25 cm was related to the Esprit cultivar.

Keywords: Autumn and Spring Cultivation, Potatoes, Qualitative Characteristics, Water Use Efficiency

Cultivars	Growth period	Type of Use	Tuber flesh color
Esprit	Mid-late	Fresh consumption	Light yellow
Marfona	Mid-late	Fresh consumption	Light yellow
Savalan	Mid-late	French Fries	Light yellow
Agria	Mid-late	French Fries	yellow

Table 1. Characteristics of the cultivars cultivated in the design

Table 2. Using water amount in different potato cultivations through surface irrigation with WSC-Flume type4

		Number of	using water	Effective rain	* Practical water
Year	Ultivatcion	irrigation	amount	amount	volume
				m ³ .ha ⁻¹	
2017	Autumn and winter	4	4015	441	4456
2017	Spring	13	13699	562	14261
2010	Autumn and winter	14	3950	696	4646
2018	Spring	12	13150	1017	14167

Table 3. Leven test values to test the experimental errors of the studied traits

water use	yieldtotal	Percentage				
efficiency (WUE)	tuber (ton/ha)	Starch of Tuber	Nitrogen of tuber	Percentage fiber of Tuber	Tuber dry matter	percentage of soluble sugars
0.537 ^{ns}	0.631 ^{ns}	8.247**	13.518**	15.425**	12.695**	16.799**

		Percentage		Percentage	
		Starch of	Nitrogen of	fiber of	Tuber dry
S.O.V	df	Tuber	tuber	Tuber	matter
Year (A)	1	2.042 ^{ns}	0.065 ^{ns}	0.025 ns	4.009 ^{ns}
Error 1	4	0.277	0.034	0.036	0.746
Planting Date (B)	2	0.624 ^{ns}	0.042 ns	0.028 ns	17.943 ^{ns}
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$	2	0.623 ^{ns}	0.042 ^{ns}	0.027 ^{ns}	1.079 ^{ns}
Error 2	8	0.357	0.034	0.025	0.692
Planting Depth (C)	3	0.279 ^{ns}	0.014^{*}	0.023**	2.524 ^{ns}
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C}$	3	0.129 ^{ns}	0.012 ^{ns}	0.008 ^{ns}	4.929^{*}
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}$	6	0.07 ^{ns}	0.004 ^{ns}	0.004 ^{ns}	5.009**
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}$	6	0.07 ^{ns}	0.004 ^{ns}	0.004 ^{ns}	3.29 ^{ns}
Variety (D)	3	21.996**	0.197^{**}	0.034^{**}	273.653**
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{D}$	3	1.612**	0.024**	0.006 ns	0.394 ^{ns}
B× D	6	2.869**	0.021**	0.01 ^{ns}	14.889**
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{D}$	6	2.869^{**}	0.021**	0.01 ^{ns}	1.918 ^{ns}
$\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$	9	1.188^{**}	0.006 ^{ns}	0.007 ^{ns}	2.861 ns
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$	9	0.329^{*}	0.005 ns	0.008 ns	1.681 ^{ns}
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$	18	0.322 ^{ns}	0.055^{**}	0.006 ^{ns}	5.604 ^{ns}
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$	18	0.321**	0.005 ns	0.006 ns	2.742 ^{ns}
Error 2	180	0.155	0.005	0.005	1.662
C.V%		2.79	3.27	3.37	6.43

Table 4. Analysis of variance of variance. Effect of date and sowing depth on tuber yield and qualitative traits in potato

Table 4. Continued

	đf	percentage of soluble	yieldtotal	water use	
S.O.V	ui	sugars	tuber(ton/ha)	efficiency (WUE)	
Year (A)	1	0.001 ^{ns}	1.021 ^{ns}	0.587 ^{ns}	
Error 1	4	0.00024	72.527	1.639	
Planting Date (B)	2	0.000282 ^{ns}	2179.495**	26.983*	
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$	2	0.000382 ^{ns}	1.123 ^{ns}	0.316 ^{ns}	
Error 2	8	0.000187 ^{ns}	114.575	3.884	
Planting Depth (C)	3	0.002^{**}	337.039**	2.707 ^{ns}	
A × C	3	0.000085 ^{ns}	3.041 ^{ns}	0.67 ^{ns}	
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}$	6	0.000487^{**}	176.274**	2.506 ^{ns}	
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}$	6	0.000293 ^{ns}	2.701 ns	0.385 ^{ns}	
Variety (D)	3	0.000257 ^{ns}	10.233 ns	10.013**	
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{D}$	3	0.00008 ^{ns}	0.443 ^{ns}	4.365 ^{ns}	
B× D	6	0.000481 ^{ns}	114.1277**	8.52 ^{ns}	
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{D}$	6	0.000329 ^{ns}	0.224 ^{ns}	3.955 ^{ns}	
$\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$	9	0.000134 ^{ns}	52.699**	3.220 **	
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$	9	0.000159 ^{ns}	1.53 ^{ns}	0.88 ^{ns}	
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$	18	0.000335 ^{ns}	30.583**	2.037^{*}	
$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$	18	0.000338^{*}	1.334 ^{ns}	0.845 ^{ns}	
Error 2	180	0.000167	14.272	2.065	
C.V%		9.17	16.76	21.10	

*,**, ns:Significant at 5 and 1% level of probability and Non significant, respectively.

Simple effects	Traits	5
Planting Depth	Nitrogen og tuber (%)	Fiber of tuber (%)
10 cm	2.095 ^b	2.103 a
15 cm	2.128 ª	2.107 ^a
20 cm	2.117 ^{ab}	2.102 a
25 cm	2.112 ab	2.069 ^b
Genotypes		
Sprit		2.083 ab
Marfona		2.114 ^a
Savalan		2.07 ^b
Agria		2.113 a

 Table 5. Comparison of the average simple effect of cultivation depth and cultivar on the traits evaluated by potato tuber

			vield of notato	water use	nercentage of dry
Genotype	ex Depth × Da	ata	tuber	efficiency (WUE)	matter
		Sprit	25.3 ^{d-h}	5.56 ^{ab}	20.785 ^{b-f}
	10	Marfona	18.3 ^{h-o}	4.11 ^{c-j}	15.612 ⁱ
	10cm	Savalan	24.3 ^{d-h}	5.43 abc	22.07 ^{a-d}
		Agria	24.5 ^{d-h}	5.5 abc	21.12 ^{b-f}
-		Sprit	27.4 ^{c-f}	6.15 a	20.04 ^{c-f}
10 Aban (Nov) –		Marfona	21.1 e-l	4 74 a-gj	16.05 ⁱ
	15cm	Savalan	21.1 25.2 d-h	5.66 ab	22 09 a-d
		Agrio	18 0 g-n	J.00 4 24 b-i	22.09 20.34 c-f
		Agria	18.9°	4 17 b-i	20.34 21.99 a-d
		Spin Marfona	12.0 l-0	4.1/ ³	21.00 15.55 i
	20cm		13.2 14.9 n-0	2.90^{-1}	13.33 20.96 h-f
		Savalan	14.8	5.52 ⁵	20.80 ° 1
		Agria	<u>21.4 ^{e-i}</u>	4.18 ^{a-g}	20.16 c-1
		Sprit	22.7 e-j	5.10 ^{a-u}	21.76 ^{a-d}
	25cm	Marfona	20.9 e-m	4.69 ^{a-g}	16.78 ^m
		Savalan	21.4 e-1	4.79 ^{a-g}	21.93 ^{a-d}
		Agria	22 ^{e-k}	4.94 ^{a-e}	20.18 ^{c-f}
		Sprit	12.2 °	2.73 ^{j-p}	19.82 def
	10cm	Marfona	15.1 ¹⁻⁰	3.39 ^{f-n}	16.33 ⁱ
	IUCIII	Savalan	16.6 ^{j-o}	3.73 ^{d-1}	20.30 ^{c-f}
		Agria	22.4 ^{e-j}	5.02 ^{a-d}	20.25 ^{c-f}
_		Sprit	24.3 ^{d-h}	5.46 abc	21.02 ^{b-f}
		Marfona	22.8 ^{e-j}	5.11 ^{a-d}	16.75 ^{hi}
	15cm	Savalan	20.7 ^{f-m}	4.64 ^{b-h}	22.23 ^{abc}
		Agria	21.2 e-1	4.76 ^{a-g}	percentage of dry 20.785 b-f 15.612 i 22.07 a-d 21.12 b-f 20.04 c-f 16.05 i 22.09 a-d 20.34 c-f 21.88 a-d 15.55 i 20.86 b-f 20.16 c-f 21.76 a-d 16.78 hi 21.93 a-d 20.30 c-f 20.30 c-f 21.02 b-f 16.75 hi 22.23 abc 21.34 a-c 18.78 fgh 17.11 ghi 21.76 a-d 20.35 c-f 21.02 b-f 16.75 hi 22.23 abc 21.34 a-c 18.78 fgh 17.11 ghi 21.76 a-d 20.49 c-f 22.26 abc 16.29 i 21.39a-d 20.35 c-f 21.06 b-f 18.84 fgh 23.36 a 21.27 a-c 21 b-f 19 efg 20 c-f
10 Azar (Dec) –		Snrit	17 3 ^{i-o}	3 89 ^{d-k}	18 78 fgh
		Marfona	16.8 j-o	3.76 ^{d-1}	17.11 ^{ghi}
	20cm	Savalan	20.3 g-m	4 56 ^{b-h}	21.76 a-d
		Agria	10.8 g-n	4.50 4.45 b-h	20.40 c-f
-		Agria	21.5 e-l	4.43 1 94 a-f	20.49
	25	Sprit Manfana	21.3	4.04 2.65 d-l	22.20 16.20 i
10 Azar (Dec) 20	25cm	Mariona	10.3°	3.03 °	10.29 ⁻¹
		Savalan	14.2 mile	3.18 ^m	21.39 ^{a a}
		Agria	15.4 ^{k-0}	3.45 ^{c-m}	20.35 ^{c-1}
		Sprit	29.6 ^{a-u}	2.15 ^{m-p}	21.06 ⁻¹
	10cm	Marfona	35.9 ª	2.56 ^{к-р}	18.84 ^{ign}
		Savalan	33.9 ^{ab}	2.42 ^{1-p}	23.36 ^a
_		Agria	30.2 ^{a-d}	2.16 ^{m-p}	21.27 a-e
		Sprit	27.3 ^{c-f}	1.95 ^{nop}	21 ^{b-f}
	15am	Marfona	32.4 ^{abc}	2.31 ^{l-p}	19 efg
	15011	Savalan	30.3 ^{a-d}	2.16 ^{m-p}	20 ^{c-f}
10 Ordibehesht		Agria	25.8 ^{d-g}	1.84 ^{op}	21.12 ^{b-f}
(May)		Sprit	24.6 ^{d-h}	1.79 ^{op}	21 ^{b-f}
	20	Marfona	27.5 ^{c-f}	1.96 nop	19 efg
	20cm	Savalan	27.7 ^{b-e}	1.98 nop	20 ^{c-f}
		Agria	24.6 ^{d-h}	1.75 ^{op}	21 ^{b-f}
-			24.1 ^{d-i}	1.72 op	20 c-f
	25cm	Marfona	25 ^{d-h}	1.79 op	19 efg
		Savalan	24 3 d-h	1 74 °-p	73 ab
		Javalall	27.5	1./ -	20

Table 6. Comparison of the mean of the three-way effect planting date, cultivar, depth

Table 7. Comparison of the mean of quadrilateral effects (year \times planting date \times planting depth \times cultivar) on the traits evaluated by potato tuber

			Ct	1 	raits	
(Yaer × Da	ta × Depth × (Genotype)	Star	ch of Tuber	Soluble Versi 1	sugars
,	•	51 /	1 4 45 0a-f	14 07e-m	14 027a-e	<u>1 ear 2</u>
		Sprit	14.430**	14.0/****	14.92/**	0.2074 *
	10cm	Marfona	13.200 ^{k-o}	12.867 ^{no}	13.253 ^{j-o}	0.193 ^{b-e}
	100	Savalan	$14.400^{\mathrm{a-f}}$	14.773 ^{a-e}	14.327 ^{a-h}	0.210 ^{a-e}
		Agria	14.650 ^{a-e}	14.483 ^{a-f}	14.527 ^{a-f}	0.203 ^{a-e}
_		Sprit	14.400 ^{a-f}	14.067 ^{e-m}	15.153 ^{a-d}	0.210 ^{a-e}
	15	Marfona	13.300 ^{h-n}	13.300 ^{h-n}	13.135 ¹⁻⁰	0.223 ^{ab}
	15cm	Savalan	14.300 ^{a-h}	14.167 ^{c-1}	14.153 ^{c-1}	0.223 ^{a-d}
10 Aban		Agria	14.600 ^{a-e}	14.267 ^{a-j}	14.153 ^{c-l}	0.223 ^{ab}
(INOV -		Sprit	14.927 ^{a-e}	15.260 ^{ab}	14.017 ^{e-m}	0.193 ^{b-e}
	20	Marfona	13.250 ^{j-o}	12.250 ^{op}	12.667 ^{no}	0.213 ^{a-d}
	20cm	Savalan	14.327 ^{a-h}	14.100 ^{c-m}	14.733 ^{a-e}	0.207 ^{a-e}
		Agria	14.527 ^{a-f}	14.527 ^{a-f}	14.483 ^{a-f}	0.193 ^{b-e}
-		Sprit	15.150 ^{a-d}	15.167 bc	14.067 ^{e-m}	0.207 ^{a-e}
	25	Marfona	13.150 ¹⁻⁰	13.267 ⁱ⁻ⁿ	13.300 ^{h-n}	0.183 ^{d-e}
	25cm	Savalan	14.150 ^{c-l}	14.083 ^{d-m}	14.167 ^{c-1}	0.213 ^{a-d}
		Agria	14.150 ^{c-l}	13.483 ^{f- n}	14.267 ^{a-j}	0.177 ^e
		Sprit	14.453 ^{a-f}	14.320 ^{a-h}	15.260 ^{ab}	0.187 ^{cde}
	10	Marfona	13.200 ^{k-o}	11.807 ^p	12.250 ^{op}	0.220 ^{abc}
	lucm	Savalan	14.400 ^{a-f}	14.280 ^{a-i}	14.100 ^{c-m}	0.197 ^{a-e}
		Agria	14.653 ^{a-e}	14.203 ^{b-k}	14.527 ^{a-f}	0.213 ^{a-d}
-		Sprit	14.400 ^{a-f}	14.343 ^{a-g}	15.167 ^{bc}	0.223 ^{ab}
	15	Marfona	13.300 ^{h-n}	12.977 ^{no}	13.267 ⁱ⁻ⁿ	0.217 ^{a-d}
	15cm	Savalan	14.300 ^{a-h}	14.543 ^{a-f}	14.083 ^{d-m}	0.223 ^{ab}
10 Azar		Agria	14.600 ^{a-e}	14.003 ^{e-m}	13.483 ^{f-n}	0.197 ^{a-e}
(Dec)		Sprit	14.927 ^{a-e}	15.290 a	14.320 ^{a-h}	0.213 ^{a-d}
	• •	Marfona	13.253 ^{j-o}	3.087 ^{mno}	11.807 ^p	0.213 ^{a-d}
	20cm	Savalan	14.327 ^{a-h}	13.227 ^{k-o}	14.028 ^{a-i}	0.187 ^{cde}
		Agria	14.527 ^{a-f}	14.390 ^{a-f}	14.203 ^{b-k}	0.210 ^{a-e}
-		Sprit	15.153 ^{a-d}	14.477 ^{a-f}	14.343 ^{a-g}	0.183 ^{d-e}
		Marfona	13.153 ¹⁻⁰	13.187 ^{k-o}	12.977 ^{no}	0.210 ^{abc}
	25cm	Savalan	14.153 ^{c-1}	14.363 ^{a-f}	14.543 ^{a-f}	0.177 ^e
		Agria	14.153 ^{c-1}	13.320 ^{g-n}	14.003 ^{e-m}	0.207 ^{a-e}
		Sprit	14.453 ^{a-f}	13.150 ¹⁻⁰	15.290 ª	0.227 ^{ab}
	10	Marfona	13.200 ^{k-o}	14.150 ^{c-1}	3.087 ^{mno}	0.217 ^{a-d}
	lucm	Savalan	14.400 ^{a-f}	14.150 ^{c-1}	13.227 ^{k-o}	0.210 ^{a-e}
		Agria	14.653 ^{a-e}	14.450 ^{a-f}	14.390 ^{a-f}	0.210 ^{a-e}
-		Sprit	14.40 a-f	13.200 ^{k-o}	14.447 ^{a-f}	0.200 ^{a-e}
	15	Marfona	13.30 ^{h-n}	14.400 ^{a-f}	13.187 ^{k-o}	0.207 ^{a-e}
10	15cm	Savalan	14.30 ^{a-h}	14.650 ^{a-e}	14.363 ^{a-f}	0.197 ^{a-e}
10 Or d'h ek eské		Agria	14.60 ^{a-e}	14.400^{a-f}	13.320 ^{g-n}	0.210 ^{a-e}
(May)		Sprit	14.927 ^{a-e}	e 14.400 ^{a-f} 13.320 ^{g-n} 0.210 ^{a-e} -e 13.300 ^{h-n} 0.230 ^a 0.210 ^{a-e}		
(may)	20	Marfona	13.253 ^{j-o}	14.300 ^{a-h}	0.213 ^{a-d}	0.203 ^{a-e}
	20cm	Savalan	14.327 ^{a-h}	14.600 ^{a-e}	0.213 ^{a-d}	0.217 ^{a-d}
		Agria	14.527^{a-f}	14.927 ^{a-e}	0.197 ^{a-e}	0.220 ^{abc}
-		Sprit	15.153 ^{a-d}	13.250 ^{j-o}	0.207^{a-e}	0.217 ^{a-d}
	75 am	Marfona	13.153 ¹⁻⁰	14.327 ^{a-h}	0.200 ^{a-e}	0.213 ^{a-d}
	230111	Savalan	14.153 ^{c-1}	14.527 ^{a-f}	0.207 ^{a-e}	0.217 ^{a-d}
		Agria	14.153 ^{c-1}	15.150 ^{a-d}	0.197 ^{a-e}	0.207 ^{a-e}

|--|

Correlation coefficient	1	2	3	4	5	6
l Nitrogen of tuber	1					
2 Starch of Tuber	0.127^{*}	1				
3 Fiber of Tuber	0.213**	0.076	1			
1 Tuber dry matter	0.233**	-0.586**	-0.478**	1		
5 Soluble sugars	-0.072	0.108	0.083	0.073	1	
5 Total tuber yield	-0.086	-0.079	-0.084	-0.017	-0.039	1
7 WUE	0.06	-0.088	-0.065	-0.092	-0.063	-0.089

*, ** Significant at p≤0.05 and 0.01, respectively