



Effect of superabsorbent polymer and potassium sulfate on growth, yield and yield components of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) under water deficit conditions

M. Arabi¹, S. Parsa^{2,3*}, M. Jami Al-Ahmadi^{2,3}, S. Mahmoodi^{2,3}

1. Ph.D. Student, Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Birjand, Birjand, Iran

2. Associate Professor, Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Birjand, Birjand, Iran

3. Plant and Environmental Stresses Research Group, University of Birjand, Birjand, Iran

Received 15 September 2020; Accepted 28 October 2020

Extended abstract

Introduction

Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) is one of the important oil crops that get attentions due to its relatively high oil content and tolerance to drought. Drought is one of the most important causes of crop products reduction and can affect plant phenology, growth and yield depending on the severity, time and stage of plant development. Superabsorbent polymers are suitable materials for increasing soil water retention capacity that can provide better conditions for crop growth, especially under water deficit conditions. The importance of using chemical fertilizers in addition to their nutritional role, is to support the crop in tolerating environmental stresses such as drought and preventing yield loss which potassium has such role in plant life and survival under stress conditions. Potassium fertilizers, especially potassium sulfate, can play an important role in reducing the negative effects of water stress. Potassium is the third main nutrient for plant growth and plays a special role in the survival of plants under environmental stress and increasing their resistance to drought, high temperatures, cold, disease, salinity, weeds and maintaining osmotic potential.

Material and methods

In order to investigate the effect of superabsorbent polymer, potassium sulfate and water deficit on growth, yield and yield components of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.), an experiment was conducted out on factorial arrangement based on randomized complete block design with three replications in Research Field of Agricultural College, at Birjand University in 2018. Experimental factors included three levels of irrigation (full irrigation in the whole growing season, irrigation up to 50% flowering stage and cutoff irrigation until maturity, and irrigation up to 50% capsules appearance and cutoff irrigation until maturity), potassium fertilization at three levels (No potassium application, fertilizing at optimum recommendation using 100 kg.ha⁻¹ potassium fertilizer and fertilizing 50% higher than optimum recommendation using 150 kg.ha⁻¹ potassium fertilizer) and superabsorbent at two levels, including no application and 100 kg.ha⁻¹ application. The studied traits were plant height, number of lateral branches, seeds per capsule, capsule per plant, 1000-grain weight, grain yield and days to maturity.

Results and discussion

Results showed that irrigation levels had a significant effect on measured traits except for number of lateral branches. The highest grain yield (2316.61 kg.ha⁻¹) was obtained under full irrigation conditions and the lowest seed yield (667.89 kg.ha⁻¹) was observed at 50% flowering cutoff irrigation. The effect of superabsorbent on all traits was significant. The results showed that superabsorbent moderated the effects of drought and improved sesame growth indices. Interaction effect of potassium and irrigation on grain yield was also significant. Although, under water deficit conditions, grain yield was significantly reduced, but potassium sulfate application under these conditions partially prevented the yield loss. Due to the role of potassium in the plant, the presence of this element in water deficit conditions can be effective in tolerance and crop yield increment. The highest number of days to maturity (124.77 day) in interaction effect of water deficit and superabsorbent was obtained from full irrigation and 100 kg/ha superabsorbent treatment and the least amount (109.55 day) was obtained from 50% flowering cutoff irrigation and non-superabsorbent treatment. The increase in soil moisture caused by the use of soil moisture absorbers can increase the duration of the day to maturity.

Conclusion

The results showed that irrigation levels decreased sesame growth and yield. Water deficit at flowering and encapsulation stages reduced grain yield compared to full irrigation treatment. Therefore, it is necessary to irrigate the crop according to water requirement during these stages. Due to the necessity of conserving available water resources as well as optimum consumption of water, the use of superabsorbent and potassium can be effective in increasing crop yield and drought resistance. Obviously, it is necessary to provide sufficient water requirement in order to achieve higher grain yield. Therefore, it seems that hydrogels reduce the negative effects of drought by absorbing water and making it available for the crop. Potassium application in environments with different levels of drought can be considered as a practical method to reduce drought damage and prevent yield loss.

Keywords: Cut off Irrigation, Grain yield, Growth development stage, Oil crop

Table 1. Physical and Chemical analysis of the field soil depth of 0-30 cm

Texture	Available K+	Available P	Organic matter	FC	Ec	pH
	-----mg/kg-----		-----%-----		dS/m	
Loam- sandy	218	12	0.67	14	5.2	7.9

Table 2. Analysis of variance (mean of squares) for studied characteristics of sesame under deficit irrigation levels, Super absorbent and Potassium

S.O.V	df	Plant height	Branches number	Capsule number per plant	Seed number per Capsule
Block	2	5.83 ^{ns}	1.165 ^{ns}	43.47 ^{ns}	20.00 ^{ns}
Deficit irrigation (DI)	2	1023.17 ^{**}	0.711 ^{ns}	1761.57 ^{**}	1181.88 ^{**}
Super absorbent (S)	1	317.36 [*]	11.61 ^{**}	744.60 ^{**}	200.84 ^{**}
Potassium (K)	2	246.52 [*]	5.27 ^{**}	143.95 ^{ns}	20.38 ^{ns}
DI×S	2	78.61 ^{ns}	1.07 ^{ns}	34.49 ^{ns}	1.62 ^{ns}
DI×K	4	19.51 ^{ns}	0.67 ^{ns}	110.26 ^{ns}	1.68 ^{ns}
S×K	2	28.46 ^{ns}	0.05 ^{ns}	49.28 ^{ns}	11.62 ^{ns}
DI×S×K	4	50.81 ^{ns}	0.08 ^{ns}	48.43 ^{ns}	3.82 ^{ns}
Error	34	75.14	0.71	44.36	8.14
C.V (%)	-	9.30	11.17	12.64	10.05

Table 2. Continued

S.O.V	df	1000-seed weight	Seed yield	Number of days to maturity
Block	2	0.0089 ^{ns}	4204.39 ^{ns}	0.56 ^{ns}
Deficit irrigation (DI)	2	3.948 ^{**}	13092234.3 ^{**}	761.90 ^{**}
Super absorbent (S)	1	0.725 ^{**}	3420150.00 ^{**}	99.28 ^{**}
Potassium (K)	2	0.259 ^{**}	424247.06 ^{**}	4.03 ^{ns}
DI×S	2	0.080 ^{**}	142393.39 ^{**}	27.84 ^{**}
DI×K	4	0.206 ^{**}	73663.61 [*]	2.83 ^{ns}
S×K	2	0.152 ^{**}	54334.39 ^{ns}	1.49 ^{ns}
DI×S×K	4	0.133 ^{**}	43993.78 ^{ns}	1.08 ^{ns}
Error	34	0.015	26647.66	1.31
C.V (%)	-	5.12	10.08	1.00

*and**: are significant at 5 and 1% probability levels, respectively

Table 3. Mean comparison for the main effects deficit irrigation, super absorbent and potassium for studied characteristics of sesame

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Branches number	Capsules number per plant	Seed number per Capsule	
Deficit irrigation	Irrigation until 50% flowering stage	84.46 ^b	7.36 ^a	41.34 ^b	19.43 ^c
	Irrigation until 50% capsuling stage	96.70 ^a	7.63 ^a	56.99 ^a	30.56 ^b
	Full irrigation	98.21 ^a	7.75 ^a	59.66 ^a	35.20 ^a
Potassium	No potassium	89.16 ^b	6.9 ^b	49.57 ^a	27.80 ^a
	100 Kg.ha ⁻¹	93.72 ^{ab}	7.87 ^a	53.30 ^a	27.76 ^a
	150 Kg.ha ⁻¹	96.49 ^a	7.92 ^a	55.12 ^a	29.62 ^a
Super absorbent	No super absorbent	90.70 ^b	8.05 ^b	48.95 ^b	26.47 ^b
	100 Kg.ha ⁻¹	95.55 ^a	7.12 ^a	56.38 ^a	30.32 ^a

Table 3. Continued

Treatments	1000-seed weight (g)	Seed yield (Kg.h ⁻¹)	Number of days to maturity	
Deficit irrigation	Irrigation until 50% flowering stage	1.94 ^c	667.89 ^c	112.27 ^c
	Irrigation until 50% capsuling stage	2.49 ^b	1870.83 ^b	122.27 ^b
	Full irrigation	2.87 ^a	2316.61 ^b	124.48 ^a
Potassium	No potassium	2.3 ^b	1467.83	119.15 ^a
	100 Kg.ha ⁻¹	2.49 ^a	1612.78 ^b	119.84 ^a
	150 Kg.ha ⁻¹	2.52 ^a	1774.72 ^a	120.06 ^a
Super absorbent	No super absorbent	2.32 ^b	1366.78 ^b	118.33 ^b
	100 Kg.ha ⁻¹	2.55 ^a	1870.11 ^a	121.04 ^a

Means within each column followed by the same letters are not significantly different based on FLSD test ($p \leq 0.05$)

Table 4. Mean comparison for interaction of deficit irrigation, Super absorbent and Potassium on 1000-seed weight

Deficit irrigation	Super absorbent (kg.ha ⁻¹)	Potassium (kg.ha ⁻¹)		
		0	100	150
Irrigation until 50% flowering stage	0	1.07 ⁱ	2.09 ^h	2.09 ^h
	100	2.05 ^h	2.19 ^{fgh}	2.14 ^{gh}
Irrigation until 50% capsuling stage	0	2.37 ^{def}	2.33 ^{efg}	2.49 ^{cde}
	100	2.58 ^{cd}	2.56 ^{cd}	2.65 ^{bc}
Full Irrigation	0	2.79 ^{ab}	2.82 ^{ab}	2.82 ^{ab}
	100	2.92 ^a	2.95 ^a	2.91 ^a

Differences of the columns that have the same alphabets one are not statistically significant at 5% (FLSD) level of significance.

Table 5. Mean comparison for interaction effect of deficit irrigation and Super absorbent on seed yield, 1000-seed weight and Number of days to Physiological maturity

	Super absorbent (kg.ha ⁻¹)	Deficit irrigation		
		Irrigation until 50% flowering stage	Irrigation until 50% capsuling stage	Full Irrigation
Seed yield (kg.ha ⁻¹)	0	510.44 ^c	1607.44 ^c	1982.44 ^b
	100	825.33 ^d	2134.22 ^b	2650.77 ^a
1000-seed weight (g)	0	1.75 ^c	2.4 ^c	2.81 ^a
	100	2.13 ^d	2.6 ^b	2.93 ^a
Number of days to Physiological maturity	0	109.55 ^c	121.22 ^c	124.19 ^{ab}
	100	115 ^d	123.33 ^b	124.77 ^a

Differences of the columns that have the same alphabets one are not statistically significant at 5% (FLSD) level of Significance

Table 6. The interaction of deficit irrigation and Potassium on Seed yield

	Potassium (kg.ha ⁻¹)	Deficit irrigation		
		Irrigation until 50% Flowering Stage	Irrigation until 50% Capsuling Stage	Full Irrigation
Seed yield (kg.ha ⁻¹)	0	585 ^c	1617.16 ^c	2201.33 ^a
	100	607.16 ^c	1851.33 ^b	2379.83 ^a
	150	811.5 ^d	1980 ^b	2368.66 ^a
1000-seed weight (g)	0	1.56 ^d	2.48 ^b	2.86 ^a
	100	2.14 ^c	2.45 ^b	2.89 ^a
	150	2.12 ^c	2.57 ^b	2.87 ^a

Differences of the columns that have the same alphabets one are not statistically significant at 5% (FLSD) level of significance.